

Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard

Attestation of Compliance for Report on Compliance – Service Providers

Version 4.0.1

Publication Date: August 2024





PCI DSS v4.0.1 Attestation of Compliance for Report on Compliance – Service Providers

Entity Name: Accelya US, Inc.

Date of Report as noted in the Report on Compliance: June 23, 2025

Date Assessment Ended: June 20, 2025



Section 1: Assessment Information

Instructions for Submission

This Attestation of Compliance (AOC) must be completed as a declaration of the results of the service provider's assessment against the *Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) Requirements and Testing Procedures ("*Assessment"). Complete all sections. The service provider is responsible for ensuring that each section is completed by the relevant parties, as applicable. Contact the entity(ies) to which this AOC will be submitted for reporting and submission procedures.

This AOC reflects the results documented in an associated Report on Compliance (ROC). Associated ROC sections are noted in each AOC Part/Section below.

Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined in this document have the meanings set forth in the PCI DSS Report on Compliance Template.

Part 1. Contact Information	
Part 1a. Assessed Entity (ROC Section 1.1)	
Company name:	Accelya US, Inc.
DBA (doing business as):	Accelya
Company mailing address:	760 NW 107 Avenue, Suite 300 Miami, Florida 33172
Company main website:	https://w3.accelya.com
Company contact name:	John Doyle
Company contact title:	SVP Cloud Operations and Security
Contact phone number:	786-464-8628
Contact e-mail address:	John.doyle@accelya.com
Part 1b. Assessor	

Part 1b. Assessor (ROC Section 1.1)

Provide the following information for all assessors involved in the Assessment. If there was no assessor for a given assessor type, enter Not Applicable.

PCI SSC Internal Security Assessor(s)		
ISA name(s):	Not applicable.	
Qualified Security Assessor		
Company name:	BDO USA	
Company mailing address:	800 Nicollet Mall, Suite 600, Minneapolis, MN 55402	
Company website:	www.bdo.com	
Lead Assessor name:	Fred Brantner	
Assessor phone number:	(206) 403-4036	
Assessor e-mail address:	fbrantner@bdo.com	
Assessor certificate number:	077-014	



Part 2. Executive Summary				
Part 2a. Scope Verification				
Services that were <u>INCLUDED</u> in the	scope of the Assessment (select al	l that apply):		
Name of service(s) assessed:	SPRK application and FLX API			
Type of service(s) assessed:				
Hosting Provider: Applications / software Hardware Infrastructure / Network Physical space (co-location) Storage Web-hosting services Security services 3-D Secure Hosting Provider Multi-Tenant Service Provider Other Hosting (specify):	Managed Services: Systems security services IT support Physical security Terminal Management System Other services (specify):	Payment Processing: ☐ POI / card present ☐ Internet / e-commerce ☐ MOTO / Call Center ☐ ATM ☐ Other processing (specify):		
□ Account Management	☐ Fraud and Chargeback	☐ Payment Gateway/Switch		
☐ Back-Office Services	☐ Issuer Processing	☐ Prepaid Services		
☐ Billing Management	☐ Loyalty Programs	☐ Records Management		
☐ Clearing and Settlement	☐ Merchant Services	☐ Tax/Government Payments		
☐ Network Provider				
Others (specify):				
Note: These categories are provided for assistance only and are not intended to limit or predetermine an entity's service description. If these categories do not apply to the assessed service, complete "Others." If it is not clear whether a category could apply to the assessed service, consult with the entity(ies) to which this AOC will be submitted.				



Part 2. Executive Summary (continued)				
Part 2a. Scope Verification (continued)				
Services that are provided by the se Assessment (select all that apply):	rvice provider but were <u>NOT INCLU</u>	<u>DED</u> in the scope of the		
Name of service(s) not assessed:	Not applicable.			
Type of service(s) not assessed:		1		
Hosting Provider: Applications / software Hardware Infrastructure / Network Physical space (co-location) Storage Web-hosting services Security services 3-D Secure Hosting Provider Multi-Tenant Service Provider Other Hosting (specify):	Managed Services: ☐ Systems security services ☐ IT support ☐ Physical security ☐ Terminal Management System ☐ Other services (specify):	POI / card present Internet / e-commerce MOTO / Call Center ATM Other processing (specify):		
Account Management	☐ Fraud and Chargeback	☐ Payment Gateway/Switch		
☐ Back-Office Services	☐ Issuer Processing	☐ Prepaid Services		
☐ Billing Management	☐ Loyalty Programs	☐ Records Management		
☐ Clearing and Settlement	☐ Merchant Services	☐ Tax/Government Payments		
☐ Network Provider		1		
Others (specify):				
Provide a brief explanation why any checked services were not included in the Assessment:				



Part 2d. In-Scope Locations/Facilities (ROC Section 4.6)

List all types of physical locations/facilities (for example, corporate offices, data centers, call centers and mail rooms) in scope for this Assessment.

Facility Type	Total Number of Locations (How many locations of this type are in scope)	Location(s) of Facility (city, country)
Example: Data centers	3	Boston, MA, USA
AWS	1	Cloud service provider



Part 2. Executive Summary (continued)

Part 2e. PCI SSC Validated Products and Solutions (ROC Section 3.3)

Does the entity use any it	tem identified on any P0	CI SSC Lists of Vali	idated Products and	Solutions *?
☐ Yes No				

Provide the following information regarding each item the entity uses from PCI SSC's Lists of Validated Products and Solutions:

Name of PCI SSC validated Product or Solution	Version of Product or Solution	PCI SSC Standard to which Product or Solution Was Validated	PCI SSC Listing Reference Number	Expiry Date of Listing
Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.

^{*} For purposes of this document, "Lists of Validated Products and Solutions" means the lists of validated products, solutions, and/or components, appearing on the PCI SSC website (www.pcisecuritystandards.org) (for example, 3DS Software Development Kits, Approved PTS Devices, Validated Payment Software, Point to Point Encryption (P2PE) solutions, Software-Based PIN Entry on COTS (SPoC) solutions, Contactless Payments on COTS (CPoC) solutions), and Mobile Payments on COTS (MPoC) products.



Part 2. Executive Summary (continued)

Part 2f. Third-Party Service Providers (ROC Section 4.4)

For the services being validated, does the entity have relationships with one or more third-party service providers that:

tnat:		
Store, process, or transmit account data of gateways, payment processors, payment	⊠ Yes □ No	
	the entity's Assessment (for example, via alware services, security incident and event nters, web-hosting companies, and laaS, PaaS,	⊠ Yes □ No
Could impact the security of the entity's C remote access, and/or bespoke software	DE (for example, vendors providing support via developers).	⊠ Yes □ No
If Yes:		
Name of Service Provider:	Description of Services Provided:	
AWS	Cloud infrastructure hosting PCI-scoped system	S.
Cybersource	Payment gateway and fraud management provide credit card payments.	der used to process
Cyderes	Managed security services provider (MSSP) - re monitoring, detection, and response activities fo systems.	
Mastercard (MIGS)	Global payment network that facilitates the author	orization, clearing,
	and settlement of card transactions.	



Part 2. Executive Summary (continued)

Part 2g. Summary of Assessment (ROC Section 1.8.1)

Indicate below all responses provided within each principal PCI DSS requirement.

For all requirements identified as either "Not Applicable" or "Not Tested," complete the "Justification for Approach" table below.

Note: One table to be completed for each service covered by this AOC. Additional copies of this section are available on the PCI SSC website.

Name of Service Assessed: SPRK application and FLX API

PCI DSS Requirement	Requirement Finding More than one response may be selected for a given requirement. Indicate all responses that apply.				Select If a Compensating Control(s) Was
	In Place	Not Applicable	Not Tested	Not in Place	Used
Requirement 1:					
Requirement 2:					
Requirement 3:					
Requirement 4:					
Requirement 5:					
Requirement 6:					
Requirement 7:					
Requirement 8:					
Requirement 9:					
Requirement 10:	\boxtimes	\boxtimes			
Requirement 11:	\boxtimes	\boxtimes			
Requirement 12:	\boxtimes	\boxtimes			
Appendix A1:		\boxtimes			
Appendix A2:		\boxtimes			



Section 2 Report on Compliance

(ROC Sections 1.2 and 1.3)

Date Assessment began: Note: This is the first date that evidence was gathered, or observations were made.	April 7, 2025
Date Assessment ended: Note: This is the last date that evidence was gathered, or observations were made.	June 20, 2025
Were any requirements in the ROC unable to be met due to a legal constraint?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
Were any testing activities performed remotely?	⊠ Yes □ No



Section 3 Validation and Attestation Details

Part 3. PCI DSS Validation (ROC Section 1.7)

This	AOC is based on results noted	in the ROC dated June 23, 2025.
Indica	ate below whether a full or partial	PCI DSS assessment was completed:
	III Assessment – All requiremen Not Tested in the ROC.	its have been assessed and therefore no requirements were marked
		e requirements have not been assessed and were therefore marked uirement not assessed is noted as Not Tested in Part 2g above.
as ap		ne ROC noted above, each signatory identified in any of Parts 3b-3d, compliance status for the entity identified in Part 2 of this document
	marked as being either In Place	PCI DSS ROC are complete, and all assessed requirements are or Not Applicable, resulting in an overall COMPLIANT rating; thereby strated compliance with all PCI DSS requirements except those noted
	marked as Not in Place, resultin	s of the PCI DSS ROC are complete, or one or more requirements are g in an overall NON-COMPLIANT rating; thereby (Service Provider instrated compliance with PCI DSS requirements.
	Target Date for Compliance: Y	YYY-MM-DD
		th a Non-Compliant status may be required to complete the Action Confirm with the entity to which this AOC will be submitted before
	as Not in Place due to a legal re assessed requirements are mar COMPLIANT BUT WITH LEGA	eption: One or more assessed requirements in the ROC are marked estriction that prevents the requirement from being met and all other ked as being either In Place or Not Applicable, resulting in an overall LEXCEPTION rating; thereby (Service Provider Company Name) has all PCI DSS requirements except those noted as Not Tested above or estriction.
	This option requires additional re	eview from the entity to which this AOC will be submitted.
	If selected, complete the followin	og:
	Affected Requirement	Details of how legal constraint prevents requirement from being met



Part 3. PCI DSS Validation (continued)

Part 3a. Service Provider Acknowledgement

Signatory(s) confirms:

(Select all that apply)

	The ROC was completed according to <i>PCI DSS</i> , Version 4.0.1 and was completed according to the instructions therein.
	All information within the above-referenced ROC and in this attestation fairly represents the results of the Assessment in all material respects.
\boxtimes	PCI DSS controls will be maintained at all times, as applicable to the entity's environment.